

Memorandum

To:

Jeff Montera, Project Manager

From:

Angela Frandsen, Quality Assurance Manager

alf

Reviewed by:

Krista Lippoldt, Quality Assurance Coordinator for EPA

Region VIII RAC

Date:

September 12, 2002

Subject:

Libby CSS QAM checklist for 8/25/02 to 9/7/02

The following summarizes the QA activities that are being verified biweekly by the QAM for the Libby CSS. These activities are discussed in Section 7 of the CSS Final SAP. This memorandum covers the above-referenced two-week time period.

1. Field Team Orientation

(QAM will attach the signature page for each orientation)

Number of new field team members: 3 (D. Zambrano, Kent Hankinson, and Victor Contreras)

Number of field team member orientations: 3. See attached signature list.

Comment: C. Zeliznak who had not signed the form when he was first oriented, signed the form and faxed it back.

2. Field Form Completion Checks (IFFs and FSDSs)

(QAM will perform a 10% check on completed field forms sent to Helena for evidence that field team members are doing the required QC checks.)

Number of IFFs completed: 161 between 8/25 and 9/7/02

Number of IFFs received in Helena: 206 (IFFs shipped to Helena would include those from 8/22 to 9/5 because they are shipped on Fridays)

Number and percent of IFFs checked by the QAM for completeness and correctness: 24 (11.6%); 2 forms were found with problems (see attached list).

Corrective Action: <u>List of IFF forms with problems sent to CSS Task Leader for corrective action - see attached list for details on corrective actions taken</u>

Number and percent of IFFs checked by the CSS task leader for completion and correctness: 162, 100%

Libby CSS QAM Checklist September 12, 2002 Page 2

Number of FSDSs completed: 250 between 8/25 and 9/7/02

Number of FSDSs received in Helena: 332 (FSDSs shipped to Helena would include those from 8/22 to 9/5 because they are shipped on Fridays)

Number and percent of FSDSs checked by the QAM for completeness and correctness: 36 (10.8%); no problems found.

Corrective Action Taken: None required.

Number and percent of FSDSs checked by the CSS task leader for completion and correctness: 261, 100%

Comment:

3. Supplemental Verification of Vermiculite Product

(CSS field team leader to notify QAM by email if this occurs)
Number of occurrences of supplemental verification: None
Addresses of verifications: NA

4. Screening Field Checks

(CSS task leader will check 2% of all properties)

Total number of properties sampled up to 9/7/02: 1766

Total number and percent of screening field checks performed by task leader: <u>0</u> checks during this two week time period; 1.6% of properties have been checked overall.

Comment: While page 7-2 of the Final SAP does not indicate a specific time frame for the completion of the 2% checks, the purpose of these checks it is to catch errors early and retrain field teams if necessary. The CSS TL would like to do these 2% checks in large blocks, which is more time efficient, but this may not coincide with the two-week time periods covered in these memoranda. To achieve a compromise, CSS TL will perform a large block of these checks to bring the frequency to over 2%, do no checks for a time, and then do another large block of checks before the frequency falls below 2%.

5. Field Audits

Field audit conducted when and by whom? None.

6. Field QC Samples (July 28 through August 10, 2002)

Field Duplicates (1/20)

Number of field samples collected: <u>565</u> Number of duplicates collected: <u>30</u>

Ratio: 1.06/20

Equipment Blanks (1/day)

Number of days soil sampling occurred: $\underline{8}$ Number of equipment blanks collected: $\underline{8}$

Ratio: 1/day

Libby CSS QAM Checklist September 12, 2002 Page 3

Aqueous Rinsates (1/day, 3 different weeks throughout field season)

Number of days expected to collect rinsates during the time frame: 0

Number of rinsates collected: 0

Ratio: NA

Next time period rinsates expected to be collected: October (week not specified at this time)

Total number of rinsates collected since sampling began: 12

Comment:

Preparation Duplicates (1/20)

Number of samples prepared by the CDM laboratory: 720

Number of preparation duplicates collected: 40

Ratio: 1.11/20

Preparation Blanks (1/day)

Number of days that samples were prepared by the CDM laboratory: 6

Number of preparation blanks collected: 6

Ratio: 1/day

Comment:

SEM/IR Splits (first 500 samples: 1/5 of IR $\leq 0.5\%$, 1/10 of IR > 0.5% and $\geq 1\%$)

Total number of samples ≤ 0.5%: None yet

Number and percent of SEM/IR splits: None yet

Total number of samples > 0.5% and $\geq 1\%$: None yet

Number and percent of SEM/IR splits: None yet

Comment: No laboratory yet under contract to perform soil analysis, either for IR or SEM. Anni Autio (laboratory coordinator) in charge of arranging this once laboratories are subcontracted.

7. Data Entry Checks (10% of Volpe data entry)

Number of data entries: None (no analytical results)

Number and percent of data entries checked: None (no analytical results - data entry

to be checked after analytical data are uploaded into database)

Name of person(s) checking data entries: NA at this time

8. Data Validation

Number of samples validated and reviewed and by whom: None, no analytical results yet

Number of sample results rejected: 0 out of 0

9. CDM Document Review

Documents issued to the client: <u>Final SAP Addendum for the Stimson Lumber</u>
<u>Company Area (9/2/02)</u>

Libby-CSS QAM Checklist September 12, 2002 Page 4

Which ones required Editorial Review and was review conducted? <u>Final SAP</u>, only minor changes were noted, form not signed, no corrective action deemed necessary

Which ones required Technical Review and was review conducted? <u>Final SAP, Yes</u> Which ones required QA review and was review conducted? <u>Final SAP, Yes</u>

Field Record of Deviation/Request for Modification forms completed and sent to EPA for approval: No new forms submitted to EPA.

Overall Comments:

cc: Jim Christiansen, EPA
Mary Goldade, EPA
Mark Raney, Volpe
Krista Lippoldt, CDM
Dee Warren, CDM
Dave Schroeder, CDM
Terry Keller, CDM
George DeLullo, CDM

CSS Orientation Program

Name	Office	Date	Signature
Dee Warren	Denver	5/8/02	Deg Crob_
Noel Anderson	NZ	518/12	Mad helana
TOM VANDERWEEL	LANG BEACH	5/8/12	Lan Dankreach
SHRIS WORTHING	W FAILFAX	11	Man Wat
BrianRoles	P: 1	5/8/02	Plan 15/
Mike Coffe for	Oakk-14e	5-4-02	mM
Tem keller	Helena	5/8/02	Del D
Michael Gross	Fairfax	5/9/02	21/ Bright 1
Donglas J. Updik	K.C.	5/8/02	Monda Alberta
Johny Cook	TANUER	= 800	Sittle
"Dae Salvoors	FEICSEX	STEIDA	1000
MARC SCHLEBUSCH	KANSAS CETT	5/29/02	Man dela
Bob Alexander	Helena	5/29/02	Thouse alfile
Karen 3 Berry	Cambridge	5/29/92	Mueus Bery
Robert HUNT	Fourtaix	6/10/02	Hunt fles
Karin Hainzhauxu	Heleus	6 13 102	K-lugh-
Albert Munoz	CARISHAI	6/13/62	At C=
Richadech	Dallas In	1/10/02	Rolle Erleen
Don Kodouski	Synase	6.17.02	day tolog
Brian Hilts	'LC	6/17/02	15 This
Carrie Finguro	FairFaix	6/17/02	Can bygut
Bob Tolfard	Attonta	7/9/02	polifica
Region Clifford	San Diego	7/9/02	Kegua Cultud
Kristin Sloane	Wayne!	7/9/02	Tools Tools
DANIEUE SANT LOUIS	WOODSURY	7/9/02	Cample Land dave
Robert Saikaly	Pittsburgh	7/9/02	Rush
WALTER SMERY	ECPANO, 9X	7-9-02	4ata Land
AIMEE VESSELL	SYRACUSE NY	7/16/02	Spullould
Jun Porga	Helen	7/23/02	Lucy Face
ROUDALL KOBERTS	HBQ	7/23/102	Keyday flow
KONOY POTOX CON		8/13/02	Talk floor
Parlopen	FFX	05/19/02	The state of the s

CSS Orientation Program

Name	Office	Date	Signature
Shown aiveire		5/8/02	Show Minn
Kent Hankinson	Atlanta	9/4/02	PKent Hankenon
Victor Controls	Denuch	914107	Mita Carter
Danny Zambrano		9/4-02	Jam Juhana
M.Kc Kissel	Denver	9/09/02	KEN)
SOUL BOLKS	Denven	9/09/2002	Saul Bolle
			·
	1		
			·
	_		
	,		
,			
	·	1,"	
	,		
	.!		,
	L.		
	M W		

CSS Orientation Program

Name	Office	Date	Signature
Shown Clivere Kent Hankingn Lictor Contrass Day Japan OIRIS 2842MX	Deep wen	5/8/07	Stant Harkanon
Kent Hankinson	Atlanta	9/4/02	Wat Hakken
Wicter Contrans	Denven	9/4-02	With Colon
House Zanbores	Donver	9/1-02	The same
CHEIS ZELIZME	MAYNE, PA	5/29/02	JETH X
			
			-
	1		1
	11		
		,	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	-		
			-
			
	,		
	, ,	+	
			The second second
	1!		

IFFs that need to be corrected:

Period: Paperwork received for weeks of 8/25/02 through 9/7/02

IFF BD#'s	IFF BD#'s 10% QC Check problem found	Corrective Action Taken	Date Corrected
BD-001656	urce ut ulite in the	Additional information clearly states the source of the vermiculite. Reference was added to notes sections of this question to clarify that the vermiculite present at the site was purchased at Ace. SEE FAX.	9/11/2002
BD-001033	BD-001033 p. 3. Under Outdoor Assessment, "Yes", "No" or "NA" needs to be circled for Stockpiles	Mike Coffey visited the address on 9/12/02 to confirm if stockpiles are present. SEE FAX.	9/12/2002

SEP.13.2002 1:19PM CDM NO.979 S INFORMATION FIELD FORM (continued) th central Rd. Address: Value Notes Data Item CONTAMINANT SCREENING STUDY ASSESSMENT Occupant information No is there any knowledge of former miners, Yes close relative of miners, or any highly Unknown exposed persons living or visiting the property? No. Is the resident, past or present, Yes diagnosed with an asbestos related Unknown disease? Indoor information Does the interior have Zonolite attic Yes insulation? Unknown Yes NA applies if attic currently has ZA Did the interior ever have Zonolite attic insulation? Unknown NA Are there vermiculite additives in any of Yes Nò the building materials? Unknown **Outdoor Information** "See adaitional is there any evidence of primary source NOS) comments @ 9 materials at or near the property? Unknown Yes Could this have been tracked indoors or otherwise spread outdoors on the Unknown property? Overall Assessment No Are primary source materials present at Yes the property? Where are primary source materials Inside Outside located? Both ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NO ZA DIESUIT 105 ide

the unid but it is purchased from the Handwar 2002 Sampling teading discoursed a shorting runge that is added to page 6 of to.

Die dea, mild that part is 812310.

P.4/4

CSS INFORMATION FIELD FORM (continued)

Address: 312 Norman Ave

BD# 00 /03

Data item	Value	Notes	
INDOOR ASSESSMENT			
Vermiculite insulation Past or Present	Attic: Yes No NA Unknown Walls: Yes No NA Unknown Basement: Yes No NA Unknown Crawl Space; Yes No NA Unknown Other:	Visual confirmation of current presence or absence required attic.	
Evidence of Physical Damage?	(Yas) No	BASEMENT BATH	
Evidence of Water Damage?	No No	11	
OUTDOOR ASSESSMENT			
Lipby Amphibale Sources Present	Garden: Yes No NA Yard: Yes No NA DM 9-12-52 Stackplies: Yes No NA Other: flour bels/pluters he	yard, placters	
Proximity to Other Properties with Potential Sources of Libby Amphiboles	Next door Within same block Other:		

CDM Federal Programs Corporation

Quality Control Review Sheet

Commac Name: RAC VIII		30jec: Number: 3082-11	6	
Document Type: Final SAP Addendim 95 Pages				
Document Title DON Final SAP Addendin for the Stimber Lunber Company Aus				
Initiated By: Kytingolds	-	Product Author. R. L'MO		
Dane Initiated: 81) 51 07		Date Due to Client 9/2/0)	
QCC:		Internal Due Date: 8/29/6	7_	
Reviews Required		Document	Version	
☐ Ecitorial ☐ Regular	tory Review	Preliminary Draft		
Technical Engine	aring Review	☐ Working Draft		
☐ QCC ☐ Other:		Final Draft		
ČÉ QA*	· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Final Document		
Estimated Review Fours: Editorial: Engineering Other	Con	QCC ⊈ QA rge Nimber:	Regulatory	
*QA review is required for work plans, field	pians, messurement rep	ports, and documents procuring tec	mical services.	
Editorial Reviewer	Due Date	Dare Reviewed Signature		
only Minur Charles				
Report Gem	No Changes	· See Text for Changes	Please Call to Discuss	
Overall Organization				
Formst	□.			
Clarity, Consistency, Grammar				
Reference List/Citations			·a	
Tables/Figures				
QCC Confirmation of Cha	nges .			
Technical Reviewer. Steve Fundinolad	Due Date	Date Reviewed Signature 8126102 STRUK	Funtines com	
Report Item	No Changes	See Text for Changes	Please Call to Discuss	
Interded Some Stated			. .	
3282-116-QC-REVU-15490	. 0			
Ca Libby Asbestos RI SAP				
Ca Libby Asbestos RI SAP RI SAP 8/28/2002				
Cd CG Review Sheet-Stimson Lumber SAP Ad				
QCC Confirmation of Changes				
Additional Reviewer:	Date Reviewe	ed: Signature:		
6. De lauro 8(26/02)				
QA Regulatory Engineering C	10the 01211	1	exile	
Word Processor:	S	ignante:		
CC Final Confirmation Signature:	- UR- DENDE	Day Day	8-29-02	